

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/658,446	09/08/2003	Michael J. Sullivan	B03-58	4732
40990 7	7590 11/21/2006	EXAMINER		
ACUSHNET COMPANY			HUNTER, ALVIN A	
333 BRIDGE S P. O. BOX 965			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
FAIRHAVEN, MA 02719			3711	<u> </u>
			DATE MAIL ED: 11/21/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/658,446	SULLIVAN ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Alvin A. Hunter	3711			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	I				
	or Reply					
WHIO - Extended after - If NO - Faility Any	HORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Does and the may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 or SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute to reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICA 36(a). In no event, however, may a rep vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH , cause the application to become ABAI	ATION. lly be timely filed IS from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)🖾	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 Se	eptember 2006.	•			
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b) This	action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the me						
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposit	tion of Claims					
4)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1,7-10 and 13-21</u> is/are pending in the	e application.				
,—	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1,7-9,19 and 20</u> is/are allowed.					
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>10,13-18 and 21</u> is/are rejected.					
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.				
Applicat	ion Papers					
9)[]	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
-	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce		the Examiner.			
,	Applicant may not request that any objection to the					
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	-···	, ,			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex					
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. & 1	19(a)-(d) or (f)			
	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:		10(4) (4) 0. (1).			
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.				
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	ity documents have been re	eceived in this National Stage			
	application from the International Bureau					
* 5	See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not re	ceived.			
	•					
Attachmen	• •	🗖 .				
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) ∐ Interview Sur Paper No(s)/I	mmary (PTO-413) Mail Date			
3) 🔲 Infon	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) 🔲 Notice of Info	ormal Patent Application			
Pape	er No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:				

Application/Control Number: 10/658,446

Art Unit: 3711

DETAILED ACTION

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 17 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 17 and 18 fail to limit the subject matter of claim 10.

Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 10,13-18, and 21 are rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-5 and 13-19 of U.S. Patent No. 6852042. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the instant application claims the same subject matter

Art Unit: 3711

except for the diameter of the core. Though US Patent No. 6852042 discloses the core, the instant application is anticipated by US Patent 6852042. Claim 20 is a product by process claim in which the process in which the thin dense layer is formed does not affect the result of the golf ball; therefore, it is submitted that US Patent 6852042 meets this limitation.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 1, 7-9, 19, and 20 are allowed.

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 7-10, and 13-21 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 10/658,446

Art Unit: 3711

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alvin A. Hunter whose telephone number is (571) 272-4411. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 7:30AM to 4:00PM Eastern Time.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gene Kim, can be reached at 571-272-4463. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Alvin A. Hunter, Jr.

EUGENE KIM SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Page 4